

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,730	02/08/2001	Hong-Sam Kim	P56295 6891	
7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert E. Bushnell			LIPMAN, JACOB	
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1522 K Street, N.W.			2134	
Washington, DC 20005-1202			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/778,730	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacob Lipman	2134			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-12,16-18,20 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-12,20 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureactee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application Inity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/778,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori, US Patent number 4,754,268, in view of Rathbone.

With regard to claim 16, Rathbone discloses a screen saver (page 167 paragraph 7), which will be revived if a signal from a mouse is received, but does not disclose the mouse is wireless, and only works if sent data matches corresponding data in the computer. Mori discloses a wireless mouse (column 1 lines 48-54) that sends a frequency that only operates computers with matching frequency (column 1 line 58-column 2 line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Mori's wireless mouse in Rathbone's description of Microsoft Windows 95, for Mori's stated motivation to make the mouse more convenient to use (column 1 lines 20-47).

With regard to claim 17, Mori discloses that a mouse controls a computer (column 2 line 66-column 3 line 4).

With regard to claim 18, Rathbone discloses that once out of screen saver, the user is prompted for a password (page 168 paragraph 3).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/778,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

2. Applicant's arguments filed 08 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Mori's frequency does not read on the claimed security code, because any person can go to Mori's computer keyboard and merely touch the keyboard to wake the computer. This limitation of preventing a user from touching the keyboard to wake a computer is not claimed in claims 16-18.

3. Applicant states that a new dependant claim, 26, has been added, and that claims 23-29 are pending. No new claims were found.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 7-12, 20 and 22 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7 AM-3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL